

### REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Upon entry of the above amendment, the claims will be 1 to 6 and 8 to 12.

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication that claims 8, 9 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. However, for reasons set forth below, it will be apparent that all of the claims in this application are now in condition for allowance.

The specification has been corrected with respect to the matter pointed out by the Examiner at the top of page 2 of the Official Action.

Similarly, claim 5 has been corrected as pointed out on page 2 of the Official Action.

Claims 1-7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshikawa et al. (JP 8-60132 A) in view of Maw et al. (U.S. 5,189,128).

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Yoshikawa et al. (JP 8-60132 A) does not disclose a laminated board using a compound of formula (1A), and Maw et al. (U.S. 5,189,128) does not disclose or suggest laminated board using a compound of formula (1A) and siloxane-modified polyamide.

In this regard, formula (1) was deleted from claims 1 and 6, and claim 7 which was dependent on claim 6 has been cancelled.

Claim 11 (indicated to be allowable) was amended to be an independent claim and claim 7 was rewritten as new claim 12 dependent on claim 11.

For the foregoing reasons, it is apparent that the rejection on prior art is untenable and should be withdrawn.

No further issues remaining, allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner has any comments or proposals for expediting prosecution, please contact undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Takeshi HASHIMOTO et al.

By: Matthew Jacob  
Matthew Jacob  
Registration No. 25,154  
Attorney for Applicants

MJ/edg  
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021  
Telephone (202) 721-8200  
Facsimile (202) 721-8250  
August 11, 2003